

No. 22-448

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

COMMUNITY FINANCIAL SERVICES ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, LIMITED, ET AL.,
Respondents.

**On Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Fifth Circuit**

RESPONDENTS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DIVIDED ARGUMENT

Respondents respectfully submit that undivided argument would be most appropriate and beneficial for this Court. Although Movants' *amicus* brief made important contributions to the case, Respondents are best situated to address the specific legal issues about the validity of the CFPB's funding scheme that will presumably be the focus of oral argument. *See* Sup. Ct. R. 28.7 (noting that motions by *amici* for divided argument "will be granted only in the most extraordinary circumstances"); *Our Lady of Guadalupe Sch. v. Morrissey-Berru*, 140 S. Ct. 2017 (2020) (denying an unopposed request for divided argument by state *amici*).

July 12, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

NOEL J. FRANCISCO

Counsel of Record

CHRISTIAN G. VERGONIS

HASHIM M. MOOPAN

YAAKOV M. ROTH

BRINTON LUCAS

ALEXIS ZHANG

JONES DAY

51 Louisiana Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 879-3939

njfrancisco@jonesday.com

Counsel for Respondents

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Community Financial Services Association of America, Limited has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation holds a ten percent or more ownership stake. Consumer Service Alliance of Texas has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation holds a ten percent or more ownership stake.